

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD  
REGION 15

\* \* \* \* \*

AUSTIN FIRE EQUIPMENT, LLC

Respondent,

and

ROAD SPRINKLER FITTERS LOCAL  
UNION NO. 669, U.A., AFL-CIO

Union.

\* \* \* \* \*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. 15-CA-019697

**COUNSELS FOR THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPLY BRIEF  
TO CHARGING PARTY'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO CROSS  
EXCEPTIONS BY RESPONDENT AND THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL**

Kevin McClue  
Caitlin E. Bergo  
Counsels for the Acting General Counsel  
National Labor Relations Board  
Region 15  
F. Edward Hebert Federal Building  
600 South Maestri Place, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New Orleans, LA 70130

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD  
REGION 15**

\* \* \* \* \*

**AUSTIN FIRE EQUIPMENT, LLC**

**Respondent,**

**and**

**ROAD SPRINKLER FITTERS LOCAL  
UNION NO. 669, U.A., AFL-CIO**

**Union.**

\* \* \* \* \*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

**Case No. 15-CA-019697**

**COUNSELS FOR THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPLY BRIEF  
TO CHARGING PARTY'S ANSWERING BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO CROSS  
EXCEPTIONS BY RESPONDENT AND THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL**

COMES NOW Kevin McClue and Caitlin E. Bergo, Counsels for the Acting General Counsel (Counsels) in the above-styled matter and files this brief with the National Labor Relations Board (Board).

The Road Sprinkler Fitters Local 669, U.A., ALF-CIO (Charging Party) correctly asserts in the Charging Party's Answering Brief in Opposition to Cross Exceptions by Respondent and the Acting General Counsel (Charging Party's Answering Brief) that the Acting General Counsel's Exception filed on February 7, 2012, should be granted.

However, Counsels respectfully proffers corrections to portions of the Charging Party's Answering Brief. At Section 2, page 4 of the Charging Party's Answering Brief, the Charging Party references Charging Party Br. in Support of Exceptions 15-18. In that the Charging Party

only filed seven Exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Decision and Order, presumably the Charging Party is referring to pages 15-18 of the Charging Party Br. in Support of Exceptions rather than exceptions 15-18.

In addition, at Section 2, page 4, of the Charging Party's Answering Brief, the Charging Party references Charging Party Brief in Reply to the Opposition by Respondent and the Acting General Counsel to its Exceptions 1-9. In that the Charging Party only filed seven exceptions, Counsels believes the "Exceptions 1-9" phrase should read "Exceptions 1-7."

Dated at New Orleans, Louisiana this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March 2012.



---

Kevin McClue  
Caitlin E. Bergo  
Counsels for the Acting General Counsel  
National Labor Relations Board  
Region 15  
F. Edward Hebert Federal Building  
600 South Maestri Place, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New Orleans, LA 7030


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 9, 2012, I electronically filed a copy of the Counsels for the Acting General Counsel's Reply Brief to the Charging Party's Answering Brief in Opposition to Cross Exceptions by Respondent and the Acting General Counsel in Case No. 15-CA-019697 to the National Labor Relations Board's Office of the Executive Secretary and forwarded a copy by electronic mail to the following:

William W. Osborne, Jr.  
Co-Counsel for Union  
[bosborne@osbornelaw.com](mailto:bosborne@osbornelaw.com)

Natalie C. Moffett  
Co-Counsel for Union  
[nmoffett@osbornelaw.com](mailto:nmoffett@osbornelaw.com)

Harold Koretzky  
Counsel for Respondent  
[Koretzky@carverdarden.com](mailto:Koretzky@carverdarden.com)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kevin McClue  
Counsel for the Acting General Counsel  
National Labor Relations Board  
Region 15  
F. Edward Hebert Federal Building  
600 South Maestri Place, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New Orleans, LA 70130