

**HTH Corporation, Pacific Beach Corporation and Koa Management, LLC, a single employer, d/b/a Pacific Beach Hotel and International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 142**

**HTH Corporation d/b/a Pacific Beach Hotel and International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 142**

**Koa Management, LLC d/b/a Pacific Beach Hotel and International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 142**

**Pacific Beach Corporation d/b/a Pacific Beach Hotel and International Longshore and Warehouse Union, Local 142.** Cases 37–CA–7311, 37–CA–7334, 37–CA–7422, 37–CA–7448, 37–CA–7458, 37–CA–7470, 37–CA–7472, 37–CA–7473, 37–CA–7476, 37–CA–7478, 37–CA–7482, 37–CA–7484, 37–CA–7488, 37–CA–7537, 37–CA–7550, and 37–CA–7587

CORRECTION

On June 14, 2011, the National Labor Relations Board issued a Decision and Order, Remanding in Part in the above-entitled proceeding in which inadvertent errors appear. On page 4, the third full paragraph in column 2, the first sentence is corrected to read:

**We will remand this issue to the Chief Administrative Law Judge, who may designate another judge in accordance with Section 102.36 of the Board’s Rules and Regulations to prepare a supplemental decision.<sup>16</sup>**

On page 6, paragraph 6(l) and the first full paragraph in column 2, the first sentence is corrected to read:

**(l) In April, May, September, and October 2007 and April 2008,** the Union made various demands for relevant information concerning the legal relationship between PBHM and the Respondents, information concerning the management agreement between PBHM and the Respondents, and information concerning the Respondents’ resumption of management of the Hotel and changes to unit employees’ terms and conditions of employment that the Respondents wished to effect after they resumed management of the Hotel. The Respondents never replied to any of these requests and did not provide the requested information.

Having found that the Respondents violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act by bargaining in bad faith and subsequently withdrawing recognition from the Union and by failing to furnish the Union with information requested in **April, May, September, and October 2007 and April 2008,** we shall order the Respondents:

on request of the Union, to bargain collectively and in good faith with the Union concerning terms and conditions of employment of unit employees and, if an understanding is reached, to embody it in a signed agreement; and to furnish the Union with the information requested in **April, May, September, and October 2007 and April 2008.** Further, the General Counsel has requested that the Board order the Respondents to reinstate all tentative agreements agreed to by the Respondents or PBHM during their negotiations with the Union. In light of the Respondents’ unlawful withdrawal of recognition from the Union, we will order the Respondents, on the resumption of bargaining, to reinstate all tentative agreements reached by the parties for purposes of good-faith bargaining. See *Health Care Services Group*, 331 NLRB 333 (2000).

On page 10 paragraph 2(k) is corrected to read:

**(k) Furnish to the Union in a timely manner the information requested by it in April, May, September, and October 2007 and April 2008.**

On page 10, the fourth paragraph in column 2 is corrected to read:

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the issue of the appropriate remedy for the Respondents’ unilateral closing of the Shogun Restaurant and layoff of the restaurant employees is severed and remanded to the Chief Administrative Law Judge, who may designate another judge in accordance with Section 102.36 of the Board’s Rules and Regulations to take further appropriate action consistent with this decision.**

On page 10, the fifth paragraph in column 2 is corrected to read:

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the designated judge shall prepare and serve on the parties a supplemental decision, after which the provisions of Section 102.46 of the Board’s Rules and Regulations shall be applicable.**

On page 13, the penultimate paragraph in the Board’s notice should read:

**WE WILL furnish to the Union in a timely manner the information requested by it in April, May, September, and October 2007 and April 2008.**

Please substitute the attached decision for the one that previously issued.

Dated, Washington, D.C. July 11, 2011

<sup>16</sup> The Board has been advised that Administrative Law Judge James M. Kennedy is retired.