

	<u>Page</u>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>III. ARGUMENT.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>A. The ALJ erred by failing to conclude that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act because its overly broad mandatory arbitration agreement constitutes a waiver of employees’ rights to concerted attempt to litigate employment claims in court or other civil proceedings, and because a reasonable employee could read the Agreement as prohibiting him or her from engaging in protected Section 7 activity by joining with other employees to concerted challenging the legality of the Agreement in forums other than Respondent’s dispute resolution process, including state or federal court. (GC Exceptions 3 through 6).....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1. The concerted filing of a class action lawsuit or arbitral claim is protected activity.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2. A mandatory arbitration agreement that could reasonably be read by an employee as prohibiting him or her from joining with other employees to file a class action lawsuit violates Section 8(a)(1) of the Act.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3. The Mutual Arbitration Agreement in this case is overly broad because a reasonable employee could read it as prohibiting him or her from joining with other employees in an attempt to pursue a class action lawsuit and as prohibiting him or her from challenging the Agreement.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>B. In addition to the remedies recommended by the ALJ based on his finding that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (4) of the Act. Respondent should be ordered to take steps to remedy the unfair labor practices established in GC Exception 3 through 6. (GC Exceptions 7 through 12).....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>IV. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Proposed Notice to Employees.....</b>	<b>15</b>