

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD**

_____)	
)	
)	
STARBUCKS CORPORATION d/b/a)	
STARBUCKS COFFEE COMPANY,)	Case Nos. 2-CA-37548
)	2-CA-37599
and)	2-CA-37606
)	2-CA-37688
LOCAL 660, INDUSTRIAL WORKERS OF THE WORLD)	2-CA-37689
)	2-CA-37798
)	2-CA-37821
)	2-CA-38187
)	
_____)	

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE EXCEPTIONS

Pursuant to Section 102.46(a) of the National Labor Relations Board’s Rules and Regulations, Respondent Starbucks Coffee Company respectfully requests a sixty (60) day extension of time to file exceptions to the administrative law judge’s decision in this matter until March 16, 2009. In support of this request, Respondent states the following:

1. A 20-day hearing was held between July 9, 2007 and October 25, 2007. The hearing included 18 days of testimony taken over five months and resulted in a 2,674-page transcript of the proceedings. In addition to the transcript, the record contains a large volume of documentary evidence, including 186 exhibits.
2. Both parties submitted lengthy post-hearing briefs on February 21, 2008.

3. On December 19, 2008, administrative law judge Mindy E. Landow issued her decision in the above-referenced matter. The 88-page opinion contains detailed factual findings, credibility determinations, legal analysis, and conclusions.

4. Respondent received the decision via U.S. mail on December 22, 2008, immediately prior to the holidays and previously scheduled vacations of its representatives and its counsel. The press of other obligations will not afford Respondent adequate opportunity to analyze the decision and the underlying record and to submit its brief in support of its exceptions by January 16, 2008.

5. Respondent has conferred with counsel for the General Counsel about this motion and he has consented to an extension of five weeks. As set forth above, however, Respondent has demonstrated good cause to support granting a sixty (60) day extension.

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully requests that the deadline to file its exceptions to the administrative law judge's decision in this matter be extended until March 16, 2009.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2009.

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD, LLP

By: *Daniel L. Nash*
Daniel L. Nash
Stacey R. Eisenstein
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 887-4000
(202) 887-4288 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Respondent


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of January, 2009, I caused a copy of the foregoing Motion for Extension of Time to File Exceptions to be served, via overnight mail, on the following:

Burt Pearlstone
Audrey Eveillard
Counsel for the General Counsel
National Labor Relations Board
26 Federal Plaza, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10278

Stuart Lichten
Counsel for the Charging Party
Schwartz, Lichten & Bright
275 7th Avenue
17th Floor
New York, NY 10001

Celeste Mattina
Regional Director
National Labor Relations Board, Region 2
26 Federal Plaza, Room 3614
New York, New York 10278



Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 887-4000
(202) 887-4288 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Respondent